

Faculty Colloquium Evaluation for _____, by _____

Date _____

	Were Criteria Met? 1 = Yes 5 = No	COMMENTS
Knowledge of Cancer Biology Clear relevance to cancer, Sufficient background information		
Skills in Scientific Research Sufficiently rigorous science, Well-formulated hypothesis, Data adequately interpreted and explained, Future directions clearly presented, Hypothesis was tested by the experimental work		
Oral and Written Communication provided, Logical flow of information, Future directions clearly presented, Questions adequately answered,		
Research Integrity Were experiments repeated more than once, Statistical analysis (when appropriate), were studies blinded?		

What were the strengths of the presentation?

Comments on parts of the presentation that could be improved:

Student's Colloquium Evaluation for NAME
DATE

Criteria	Were Criteria Met? Circle appropriate score				
	5 = Yes				1 = No
OVERALL PRESENTATION					
Sufficiently rigorous science	5	4	3	2	1
Clear relevance to cancer	5	4	3	2	1
Sufficient background information provided	5	4	3	2	1
Well-formulated hypothesis	5	4	3	2	1
Logical flow of information	5	4	3	2	1
Data adequately interpreted and explained	5	4	3	2	1
Hypothesis was tested by the experimental work	5	4	3	2	1
SPEAKER					
Good pace (not too fast or slow)	5	4	3	2	1
Future directions clearly presented	5	4	3	2	1
Questions adequately answered	5	4	3	2	1
SLIDES					
Appropriate number of slides	5	4	3	2	1
Data well-labeled	5	4	3	2	1
Appropriate amount of information on each slide	5	4	3	2	1

What were the strengths of the presentation?

*Comments on parts of the presentation that could be improved:
